

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
10 SAN JOSE DIVISION  
11

12 LANGUAGE LINE SERVICES, INC., )

13 Plaintiff, )

14 v. )

15 LANGUAGE SERVICES ASSOCIATES, )  
16 LLC, et al., )

17 Defendants. )  
\_\_\_\_\_ )

Case No.: C 10-2605 JW (PVT)

**ORDER RE PARTIES' PROPOSED  
FORM OF PROTECTIVE ORDER**

18 On September 8, 2010, the parties submitted a proposed form of stipulated protective order.  
19 Some of the provisions of the proposed form of order are not acceptable to the court.<sup>1</sup> Rather than  
20 spend time identifying all of the problems with the proposed form of order, the court finds it more  
21 efficient to direct the parties to use the one of the court's model forms of protective order.

22 Therefore,


23 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, no later than September 28, 2010, the parties shall submit a  
24 \_\_\_\_\_

25 <sup>1</sup> For example, Paragraph 4 requires objections to confidentiality designations to be made  
26 within 20 days from the date the designation is received by counsel. This provision would preclude  
27 counsel from challenging a designation of information that was confidential when designated, but later  
28 loses its confidential nature. This provision would also put an unnecessary and undue burden on a party  
when one side produces documents with a large number of confidentiality designations. There is simply  
no reason for precluding a party from challenging a confidentiality designation when that party learns  
that a challenge is warranted, even if the party does not realize a challenge is warranted until months or  
even years after the designation.

1 revised form of protective order based on one of the court's model forms of protective order  
2 available in the "Forms" section of the court's website ([www.cand.uscourts.gov](http://www.cand.uscourts.gov))

3 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, pending entry of the final form of protective order, the  
4 handling of confidential information shall be governed by the provisions of the court's model  
5 "Stipulated Protective Order for Litigation Involving Patents, Highly Sensitive Confidential  
6 Information And/or Trade Secrets."

7 Dated: 9/14/10

8   
9 PATRICIA V. TRUMBULL  
United States Magistrate Judge